

ORIGINATOR: HEAD OF PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT OFFICE

**DECISION NO. 05/2021** 

REASON FOR SUBMISSION: FOR DECISION

SUBMITTED TO: POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

SUBJECT: COLLABORATION AGREEMENT – INTERGRATED OFFENDER MANAGEMENT (IOM)

# **SUMMARY:**

- 1. The joint Integrated Offender Management (IOM) Section 22A Collaboration Agreement was extended by variation, to expire on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2021. Prior to this the function had been operating as a collaborated unit since 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014.
- 2. The function continues to operate and deliver in line with strategic objectives and a further Collaboration Agreement is proposed to continue with the function for a further 5 years.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the Chief Executive of the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner is authorised to execute the Collaboration Agreement on behalf of the Police and Crime Commissioner, to enable the continuation of the collaborated Joint Integrated Offender Management function.

APPROVAL BY: PCC

The recommendation set out is agreed.

Signature Date: 08 February 2021

### **DETAIL OF THE SUBMISSION**

### 1. OBJECTIVE:

The Joint Integrated Offender Management function (IOM) has been working in collaboration across Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies since 2014. In accordance with 12.2 of the Collaboration Agreement, the function has been reviewed to ensure it continues to operate effectively and in conjunction with organisational objectives. A new Collaboration Agreement for a period of 5 years is now proposed.

### 2. BACKGROUND:

The IOM has been operating under a Variation Agreement since 1<sup>st</sup> April 2020. Following a review of the function by Assistant Chief Constables (ACCs) from Norfolk and Suffolk, some changes have been made to the joint performance monitoring of the function.

### 3. AREAS FOR CONSIDERATION:

The ACCs have decided that, whilst we await the outcome of a national review of the Integrated Offender Management strategy along with any changes as a result of the Prison and Probation Service review, we should continue with the good service that the JOIMD has delivered to date.

For the purposes of the Section22A agreement joint performance monitoring of the function will be provided through the Management of Offenders – Strategic Meeting (MOSM) as outlined in Section 24 of the agreement

# 4. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

There are no other considerations.

# 5. STRATEGIC AIMS/OBJECTIVE SUPPORTED:

The Norfolk and Suffolk IOM has an effective scheme proven to reduce crime harm and offending across both counties, and was nominated as a finalist for an international award in policing in 2019.

The IOM supports both OPCCs' core priorities of preventing offending and delivering a modern and innovative service, delivering efficient and effective services with the right resources and demonstrating good stewardship of tax payers' money.

# 6. FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial or other resource implications for the continued collaboration of the IOM function.

# 7. OTHER IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS:

There are no other implications and risks associated with the continued collaboration of the IOM function.

ORIGINATOR CHECKLIST (MUST BE COMPLETED)	PLEASE STATE 'YES' OR 'NO'
Has legal advice been sought on this submission?	YES
Has the PCC's Chief Finance Officer been consulted?	YES
Have equality, diversity and human rights implications been considered including equality analysis, as appropriate?	YES
Have human resource implications been considered?	YES
Is the recommendation consistent with the objectives in the Police and Crime Plan?	YES
Has consultation been undertaken with people or agencies likely to be affected by the recommendation?	NO
Has communications advice been sought on areas of likely media interest and how they might be managed?	NO

In relation to the above, have all relevant issues been highlighted in the 'other implications and risks' section of the submission?	YES	
Is this report a Confidential Decision?  YES	NO	
If Yes, please state reasons below having referred to the PCC Decision	Making Policy	
<b>APPROVAL TO SUBMIT TO THE DECISION-MAKER</b> (this approval is required only for submissions to the PCC).		
Chief Executive		
I am satisfied that relevant advice has been taken into account in the pr the report, that the recommendations have been reviewed and that this appropriate request to be submitted to the PCC.	•	

Date: 08 February 2021

Signature:

# **Chief Finance Officer (Section 151 Officer)**

should be highlighted, along with the reason why.

I certify that:

a) there are no financial consequences as a result of this decision,

OR

b) the costs identified in this report can be met from existing revenue or capital budgets,

OR

- c) the costs identified in this report can be financed from reserves AND
- d) the decision can be taken on the basis of my assurance that Financial Regulations have been complied with.

Freedom of Information Act 2000 and wherever possible will be made available on the OPCC website. Submissions should be labelled as 'Not Protectively Marked' unless any of the material is 'restricted' or 'confidential'. Where information contained within the submission is 'restricted' or 'confidential' it

Date: 08 February 2021

Signature:

PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION: Information contained within this submission is subject to the